Gastroesophageal reflux disease is associated with abnormal ventricular repolarization indices

Hakan Kaya¹ 🕩, Sezgin Barutçu² 🕩

¹Department of Cardiology, Adıyaman University, Adıyaman, Turkey ²Department of Gastroenterology, Adıyaman Training and Research Hospital, Adıyaman, Turkey

Cite this article as: Kaya H, Barutçu S. Gastroesophageal reflux disease is associated with abnormal ventricular repolarization indices. Turk J Gastroenterol 2019; 30(12): 1021-4.

ABSTRACT

Background/Aims: Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease (GERD) is a clinical entity affecting the upper gastrointestinal tract. The pathophysiology of GERD has been associated with autonomic nervous system disorders. Autonomic nervous system disturbances in GERD patients have been shown to lead to fatal ventricular arrhythmias (VAs) that result in electrical and ventricular repolarization anomalies. The maximum to terminal electrocardiographic T wave (Tpe) has been associated with repolarization of transmural dispersion. In addition, a higher Tpe interval (Tpel) and Tpe/QT ratio correspond with VAs. The goal of this report was to assess ventricular repolarization, by Tpel and Tpe/QT ratio, in GERD patients.

Materials and Methods: The study was comprised of 46 GERD subjects and 43 healthy volunteers. Tpel, cTpe, and Tpe/Q ratios were determined from electrocardiograms and associations of the groups were compared.

Results: The clinical characteristics were similar between the two groups. Tpel, corrected Tpe (cTpe) interval, and Tpe/QT ratio were higher in subjects with GERD in comparison to the control group (P<0.001, P=0.018, and P<0.001, respectively).

Conclusion: Tpel and Tpe/QT ratio were higher in GERD patients. Patients with GERD may have an increased risk for VAs **Keywords:** Gastroesophageal reflux, Tpe interval, Tpe/QT ratio, ventricular arrhythmia

INTRODUCTION

Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is characterized by acid regurgitation and epigastric burning that occur as the result of reflux of gastric content into the esophagus (1). GERD is the most common gastrointestinal disorder, with an increasing prevalence in developed countries (2,3). Disturbances in the autonomic nervous system (ANS) were observed in patients with GERD, and they were related to the pathophysiology of the disease. The relationship between GERD and cardiac dysrhythmia was documented as gastrocardiac syndrome (4,5). GERD, and its effects on the cardiac system represent a showcase the complexity between the heart and the ANS. The evidence suggests that chronic GERD can cause an autonomic response, which results in VAs (6). Disturbed autonomic nervous functions may trigger VAs through electrical and ventricular changes in anomalies. Repolarization of the myocardium can be assessed using several techniques. In addition, recent reports have demonstrated that Tpel, the interval between the peak to the end of the electrocardiographic Tpe, is an index for the repolarization of transmural dispersion (7,8). Tpel and the Tpe/ QT ratio has been documented as significant in terms of electrocardiographic indexes for arrhythmic risk, corresponding to spatial transmural dispersion (9,10).

In this report, we examined the ventricular arrhythmia potential in patients with GERD by calculating Tpel and the Tpe/QT ratio, which are the indexes of ventricular repolarization.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population

Forty-five patients diagnosed with GERD upon an endoscopic examination performed at the gastroenterology clinic were enrolled in the study. In addition, 43 healthy volunteers were enrolled during a routine control checkup in the cardiology clinic. Approval was obtained from the ethics committee (Ethics Committee of Adıyaman University, 2017/9-18), and every subject in the study provided informed consent. An electrocardiogram (ECG) was applied in all cases. Left ventricular measurements were done following the American Society of Echocardiography criteria (11). The cases who had left ventricular hypertrophy and dysfunction and/or left bundle branch

Corresponding Author: Hakan Kaya; kardiyolog02@gmail.com

Received: December 27, 2018 Accepted: March 9, 2019 Available online date: December 03, 2019

© Copyright 2019 by The Turkish Society of Gastroenterology · Available online at www.turkjgastroenterol.org DOI: **10.5152/tjg.2019.181008**

block in ECG, rheumatoid heart disease, heart valvular disease, thyroid dysfunction, chronic lung disease, chronic kidney disease, anemia, electrolyte disturbance, chronic liver disease, chronic infection, atrioventricular conduction disturbance, non-sinus rhythm in ECG, systemic rheumatoid disease, or who used antidepressants and antipsychotic or antihistaminic drugs, were excluded from the study.

Electrocardiography

All ECGs were performed with a recording device adjusted to the 1 mV/cm standardization and 50 mm/sec paper speed (Nihon Kohden, Tokyo, Japan), with the patient resting in the supine position. The patient heart rate was recorded at rest during the ECG. To avoid erroneous calculations, the QT and TpeI analyses were made in duplicate measurements. Subjects that had U waves and negative T waves were excluded. Whenever possible, QT interval (QTI) was assessed in all 12 leads, while Tpel was examined from precordial derivations (12). The QTI was calculated at the QRS complex beginning at the T wave end, and it was the corrected for heart rate using Bazett's formula (QTc=QT/RR-2) (13). The QTd was calculated using the difference from the maximum and minimum QTI of the 12 leads. The Tpel was calculated from the peak of the T wave to the end of the T wave. Then, the Tpel was corrected for the heart rate. The end of the T wave was determined to be at the intersection of the tangent and the T-wave downslope and isoelectric line. The Tpe/QT ratio was calculated from these measurements.

Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences, v 22.0 (IBM Corp.; Armonk, NY, USA). Variables were expressed as the mean±standard deviation, whereas categorical variables were expressed as percentage. For numerical variables, statistical analyses were made with independent samples using the t-test/ Mann-Whitney U-test. For categorical variables, Fisher's exact test or chi-square test was used. A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

The patient demographics and clinical outcomes are presented in Table 1. All demographics were similar between the two groups (for all, p>0.05).

The ECG measurements of both groups are presented in Table 2. The QTd and cQTd were higher in patients with GERD in comparison to controls (p=0.002 and P=0.09, respectively). Moreover, Tpel, cTpel, and the Tpe/QT ratio

were increased in patients with GERD in comparison to controls (p<0.001, p=0.018, and p<0.001, respectively).

DISCUSSION

The study results showed a significantly increased Tpel and the Tpe/QT ratio in patients with GERD in comparison to the controls.

Several previous studies reported that increased dispersion in ventricular repolarization is a predisposing factor

Table 1. Clinical characteristics and echocardiographic findings of
groups.

Variables	Patients with GERD (n=46)	Control group (n=43)	р
Age (years)	51.0 ± 8.9	51.1 ± 5.0	0.961
Gender, male, n %	38 (82.6)	31 (72.0)	0.425
BMI (kg/m²)	26.4 ± 3.0	25.2 ± 2.7	0.059
Diabetes mellitus, n, (%)	6 (13.0)	1 (2.32)	0.065
Smokers, n, (%)	19 (41.3)	15 (34.8)	0.588
Heart rate (beats/min)	71.9. ± 8.3	75.7 ± 9.7	0.052
Systolic BP (mmHg)	126.7 ± 7.0	127.0. ± 5.4	0.792
Diastolic BP (mmHg)	72.3 ± 5.0	71.6 ± 6.0	0.544
IVS (mm)	10.7 ±0.8	10.5 ± 0.7	0.434
PW (mm)	8.3 ± 1.0	8.0 ± 1.3	0.244
LVEF (%)	61.0 ± 2.9	61.9 ± 3.6	0.184

BMI: body mass index; BP: blood pressure; IVS: interventricular septum; PW: posterior wall; LVEF: left ventricle ejection fraction.

Table 2. Electrocardiographic findings of the groups.

	Patients with GERD (n=46)	Control group (n=43)	р
QTd (ms)	28.1±8.8	22.8±6.7	0.002
cQTd (ms)	30.3±10.5	25.2±6.9	0.009
Tpe (ms)	88.1±14.1	79.2±4.6	<0.001
cTpe (ms)	94.3±15.00	88.4±5.9	0.018
Tpe/QT	0.24±0.02	0.22 ±0.01	<0.001

QTd: QT dispersion; cQTd: corrected QT dispersion; Tpe: Tpe intreval; cTpe: corrected Tpe interval.

for VAs (14,15). Moreover, an association has been shown between a prolonged Tpel and VAs (16). The duration of action potential is longer in M-cells of the myocardium in comparison to the other myocardial cells. Repolarization is first completed at the epicardial cells. The peak of the T wave is reflective of the epicardial action potential end, whereas the T-wave end is comparable to the endocardial action potential. Therefore, the Tpel demonstrates the transmural dispersion. A prolonged Tpel was shown to be related to sudden cardiac death (17). However, Tpel was shown to be affected by the variations of heart rate and body weight. Presently, the Tpe/QT ratio is a more precise index for the ventricular dispersion compared to QTd, corrected QTd, and Tpel, and it is independent of heart rate alterations (18). In a recent study, Yayla et al. showed that Tpel and Tpe/QT were increased in patients with aortic stenosis, and this increase was correlated with the severity of the disease (19). In another study, it was shown that myocardial fibrosis may cause changes in myocardium in patients with systemic sclerosis, and these changes may cause heterogeneity in ventricular repolarization and may increase the Tpel and Tpe/QT (20). Tpel and the Tpe/QT ratio were also evaluated in celiac disease, which is an autoimmune disease, and these indexes were reported to increase in patients with celiac disease (21). Inflammation can have an artymogenic effect by creating autoimmune dysfunction and myocardial damage. Esophageal inflammation resulting from GERD increases the vagal modulation of cardiac functions (22). The esophageal mucosa inflammation impacts reflex cardiac rhythm mechanisms, which can result in secondary cardiac dysrhythmia complications (23). Furthermore, the relationship between neurocardiac dysfunction and esophageal acid exposure was shown, and the treatment of esophageal symptoms was reported to result in an improvement of cardiac functions (24). It has been reported that disturbances in the ANS can increase the frequency of lower esophageal sphincter relaxation by reducing the muscular control at the lower esophageal sphincter (25). Several previous studies have found a reduced sympathetic activity in GERD (26,27).

On the other hand, a study by Milovanovic et al. showed an increased activity in both the sympathetic and parasympathetic systems in GERD (28). In our study, we found a significantly increased Tpel and the Tpe/QT ratio in patients with GERD compared to controls. Possible causes for the higher Tpel and Tpe/QT ratio may be related to changes in the autonomic neural tonus and an increased sympathetic activity in patients with GERD. These changes have been associated with increased VAs, along with the changes in transmural dispersion of repolarization (29,30). Our results are quite significant as, to the best of our knowledge, no other study in the literature has examined Tpel and the Tpe/QT ratio as highly sensitive gauges of ventricular repolarization anomalies and VAs potential in patients with GERD. Major limitations to this study are the lack of follow-up of patients regarding ventricular arrhythmia and the size of the patient cohort. Large scale, multi-centered prospective studies are required to document and evaluate ventricular arrhythmias occurring in patients with GERD with an increased Tpel and the Tpe/QT ratio.

In summary, patients with GERD may have an increased risk for VAs, and the VAs risk in patients with GERD can be predicted by measuring Tpel and the Tpe/QT ratio, which are the indicators of ventricular repolarization anomalies. Nonetheless, further studies with a greater number of patients are required.

Ethics Committee Approval: Ethics committee approval for this study was received from the Ethics Committee of Adıyaman University (Decision Date/Number: 2017/9-18).

Informed Consent: Written informed consent was obtained from all patients who participated in this study.

Peer-review: Externally peer-reviewed.

Author Contributions: Concept - H.K.; Design - H.K.; Supervision - H.K., S.B.; Resources - H.K., S.B.; Materials - H.K., S.B.; Data Collection and/or Processing - H.K.; Analysis and/or Interpretation - H.K.; Literature Search - H.K., S.B.; Writing Manuscript - H.K., S.B.; Critical Review - H.K., S.B.

Conflict of Interest: The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study has received no financial support.

REFERENCES

1. Vakil N, van Zanten SV, Kahrilas P, Dent J, Jones R. Global Consensus Group. The montreal definition and classification of gastroesophageal reflux disease: a global evidence-based consensus. Am J Gastroenterol 2006; 101: 1900-20. [CrossRef]

2. Eusebi LH, Ratnakumaran R, Yuan Y, Solaymani-Dodaran M, Bazzoli F, Ford AC. Global prevalence of, and risk factors for, gastro-oesophageal reflux symptoms: a meta-analysis. Gut 2018; 67: 430-40. [CrossRef]

3. Hunt R, Armstrong D, Katelaris P, et al. World gastroenterology organisation global guidelines: GERD global perspective on gastroesophageal reflux disease. J Clin Gastroenterol 2017; 51: 467-78. [CrossRef]

4. Altomare A, Guarino MP, Cocca S, Emerenziani S, Cicala M. Gastroesophageal reflux disease: Update on inflammation and symptom perception. World J Gastroenterol 2013; 19: 6523-28. [CrossRef] 5. Patcharatrakul T, Gonlachanvit S. Gastroesophageal reflux symptoms in typical and atypical GERD: roles of gastroesophageal acid refluxes and esophageal motility. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2014; 29: 284-90. [CrossRef]

6. Velagapudi P, Turagam MK, Leal MA, Kocheril AG. Atrial fibrillation and acid reflux disease. Clin Cardiol 2012; 35: 180-6. [CrossRef]

7. Kors JA, Ritsema van Eck HJ, van Herpen G. The meaning of the Tp-Te interval and its diagnostic value. J Electrocardiol 2008; 41: 575-80. [CrossRef]

8. Antzelevitch C, Sicouri S, Di Diego JM, et al. Does Tpeak-Tend provide an index of transmural dispersion of repolarization? Heart Rhythm 2007; 4: 1114-6. [CrossRef]

9. Watanabe N, Kobayashi Y, Tanno K, et al. Transmural dispersion of repolarization and ventricular tachyarrhythmias. J Electrocardiol 2004; 37: 191-200. [CrossRef]

10. Gupta P, Patel C, Patel H, et al. T(p-e)/QT ratio as an index of arrhythmogenesis. J Electrocardiol 2008; 41: 567-74. [CrossRef]

11. Lang RM, Bierig M, Devereux RB, et al. Recommendations for Chamber Quantification: A Report From the American Society of Echocardiography's Guidelines and Standards Committee and the Chamber Quantification Writing Group, Developed in Conjunction With the European Association of Echocardiography, a Branch of the European Society of Cardiology. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2005; 18: 1440-63. [CrossRef]

12. Castro Hevia J, Antzelevitch C, Torne's Barzaga F, et al. Tpeak-Tend and Tpeak-Tend dispersion as risk factors for ventricular tachycardia/ ventricular fibrillation in patients with the Brugada syndrome. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006; 47: 1828-34. [CrossRef]

13. Goldenberg I, Moss AJ, Zareba W. QT interval: how to measure it and what is "normal." J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2006; 17: 333-6. [CrossRef]

14. Topilski I, Rogowski O, Rosso R, Justo D, Copperman Y, Glikson M: The morphology of the QT interval predicts torsade de pointes during acquired bradyarrhythmias. J Am Coll Cardiol 2007; 49: 320-8. [CrossRef]

15. Shimizu M, Ino H, Okeie K, Yamaguchi M, Nagata M, Hayashi K: T-peak to T-end interval may be a better predictor of high-risk patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy associated with a cardiac troponin I mutation than QT dispersion. Clin Cardiol 2002; 25: 335-59. [CrossRef]

16. Sicouri S, Antzelevitch C: A subpopulation of cells with unique electrophysiological properties in the deep subepicardium of the canine ventricle. The M cell. Circ Res 1991; 68: 1729-41. [CrossRef]

17. Panikkath R, Reinier K, Uy-Evanado A, Teodorescu C, Hattenhauer J, Mariani R: Prolonged Tpeak-to-tend interval on the resting ECG is associated with increased risk of sudden cardiac death. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol 2011; 4: 441-7. [CrossRef]

18. Zhao X, Xie Z, Chu Y, et al. Association between Tp-e/QT ratio and prognosis in patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention for ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. Clin Cardiol 2012; 35: 559-64. [CrossRef]

19. Yayla Ç, Bilgin M, Akboğa MK, et al. Evaluation of Tp-E Interval and Tp-E/QT Ratio in Patients with Aortic Stenosis. Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol 2016; 3: 287-93. [CrossRef]

20. Yayla Ç, Yayla ME, Yayla KG, Ilgen U, Akboğa MK, Düzgün N. The Assessment of Tp-e Interval and Tp-e/QT Ratio in Patients With Systemic Sclerosis. Arch Rheumatol 2016; 2: 139-44. [CrossRef]

21. Demirtaş K, Yayla Ç, Yüksel M, et al. Tp-e interval and Tp-e/QT ratio in patients with celiac disease. Rev Clin Esp 2017; 8: 439-45. [CrossRef]

22. Lee YC, Wang HP, Lin LY, et al. Circadian change of cardiac autonomic function in correlation with intra-esophageal pH. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2006; 21: 1302-8. [CrossRef]

23. Rieder F, Cheng L, Harnett KM, et al. Gastroesophageal reflux disease-associated esophagitis induces endogenous cytokine production leading to motor abnormalities. Gastroenterology 2007; 132: 154-65. [CrossRef]

24. Cuomo R, De Giorgi F, Adinolfi L, et al. Oesophageal acid exposure and altered neurocardiac function in patients with GERD and idiopathic cardiac dysrhythmias. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2006; 24: 361-70. [CrossRef]

25. Tougas G, Spaziani R, Hollerbach S, et al. Cardiac autonomic function and oesophageal acid sensitivity in patients with non-cardiac chest pain. Gut 2001; 49: 706-12. [CrossRef]

26. Campo SM, Capria A, Antonucci F, et al. Decreased sympathetic inhibition in gastroesophageal reflux disease. Clin Auton Res 2001; 11: 45-51. [CrossRef]

27. Ciccaglione AF, Grossi L, Cappello G, et al. Effect of hyoscine N-butylbromide on gastroesophageal reflux in normal subjects and patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease. Am J Gastroenterol 2001; 96: 2306-11. [CrossRef]

28. Branislav Milovanovic, Branka Filipovic, Slavica Mutavdzin, et al. Cardiac autonomic dysfunction in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease. World J Gastroenterol 2015; 21: 6982-9. [CrossRef]

29. Cappato R, Alboni P, Pedroni P, Gilli G, Antonioli GE. Sympathetic and vagal influences on rate-dependent changes of QT interval in healthy subjects. Am J Cardiol 1991; 68: 1188-93. [CrossRef]

30. Ishida S, Nakagava M, Fujino T, Yonemochi H, Saikawa T, Ito M. Circadian variation of QT interval dispersion: Correlation with heart rate variability. J Electrocardiol 1997; 30: 205-10. [CrossRef]