

How to predict the severity of acute pancreatitis? An ongoing debate

Dear Editor,

We read the article entitled "Early prediction of organ failure under the revised Atlanta classification" with great interest (1). Prediction of the severity of acute pancreatitis is a highly attractive issue, which is important for determining early triage, aggressive resuscitation of the patient, and the need to refer to an intensive care unit. The authors conducted a retrospective study among 214 patients with acute pancreatitis in order to determine conventional laboratory tests and scoring systems that could predict the development of organ failure at an early stage.

The authors found that among all laboratory tests, determination of serum calcium, arterial partial pressure of oxygen (PaO₂), and base excess levels had the highest predictive value. However, the positive predictive values of these tests were low (between 22.2% and 40%). At the same time, the authors found that compared with APACHE II and BISAP scores, the extrapancreatic inflammation on computed tomography (EPIC) score had the highest accuracy (AUROC: 0.82). However, the positive predictive value of the EPIC score was 36%, which is much more important than that of ROC curve analysis in clinical decision making. On the other hand, the APACHE Il score had a higher PPV (80%) and a sensitivity of 12.5%, which was significantly lower than that reported in the literature. We think that this may be due to the exclusion of cases that developed organ failure before admission to the hospital. In addition, the authors calculated BISAP and APACHE II scores only on the basis of data obtained within 24 hours of hospital admission. It is well known that the APACHE II score has a poor predictive value at 24 hours. In other words, it has a high false-positive rate. However, increasing levels during the first 48 hours is more useful and can predict a severe disease. With regard to other laboratory tests, we wonder why the authors did not consider hematocrit levels.

The authors calculated the EPIC score by performing a CT scan before or on the same day of organ failure diagnosis. They found that the EPIC score had 73.6% specificity. However, PPV of the EPIC score was 36%. In a previous report, the EPIC score was found to be a better

predictor of outcome than CTSI and Balthazar scores. However, a CT scan was performed at least 3 days after the onset of symptoms (2). Morphological changes and organ failure are not directly proportional. The development of organ failure depends on the individual's response to the initial insult. At the same time, it is well known that optimal timing for initial CT is at least 72 hours after the onset of symptoms (3). An earlier CT may miss or underestimate the necrosis. Furthermore, an early CT does not change the management of the patient and improve the clinical outcome. Therefore, patients may need to undergo a second CT scan depending on their clinical course. Do the authors suggest that an early CT is practical in this regard? Is it recommended to perform a CT scan in an asymptomatic patient? The authors found no significant difference between the laboratory markers and scoring systems of patients with transient and persistent organ failure. We wonder about the details regarding their fluid resuscitation protocol and whether the transient and persistent organ failure groups were similar in this regard.

Aydın Şeref Köksal, Ahmet Tarık Eminler, Erkan Parlak Department of Gastroenterology, Sakarya University School of Medicine, Sakarya, Turkey

REFERENCES

- 1. Liu J, Cao F, Dong X, et al. Early prediction of organ failure under the revised Atlanta classification. Turk J Gastroenterol 2017; 28: 46-52. [CrossRef]
- Sharma V, Rana SS, Sharma RK, Kang M, Gupta R, Bhasin DK. A study of radiological scoring system evaluating extrapancreatic inflammation with conventional radiological and clinical scores in predicting outcomes in acute pancreatitis. Ann Gastroenterol 2015; 28: 399-404.
- Working Group IAP/APA Acute Pancreatitis Guidelines. IAP/APA evidence-based guidelines for the management of acute pancreatitis. Pancreatology 2013; 13(Suppl 2): e1-15. [CrossRef]

Address for Correspondence: Ahmet Tarık Eminler

E-mail: eminler@yahoo.com

Received: January 19, 2017 Accepted: January 21, 2017

Available Online Date: March 15, 2017

 $@ Copyright 2017 by The Turkish Society of Gastroenterology \bullet Available online at www.turkjgastroenterol.org \bullet \\$

DOI: 10.5152/tjg.2017.17027